6 POINT OLD STYLE NO. 77 THE INVENTION OF PRINTING has always been recognized by educated men as a subject of importance: there is no mechanical art, nor

are there any of the fine arts, about whose early history so many books

have been written. The subject is as mysterious as it is inviting.

There is an unusual degree of obscurity about the origin of the first

printed books and the lives and works of the early printers. There

are records and traditions which cannot be reconciled of at least three

distinct inventions of printing. Its early history is entangled with a

controversy about rival inventors which has lasted for more than

7 POINT OLD STYLE NO. 77

THE INVENTION OF PRINTING has always been recognized by educated men as a subject of importance: there is no mechanical art, nor are there any of the fine arts, about whose early history so many books have been written. The subject is as mysterious as it is inviting. There is an unusual degree of obscurity about the origin of the first printed books and the lives and works of the early printers. There are records and traditions which cannot be reconciled of at least three disand traditions which cannot be reconciled of at least three distinct inventions of printing. Its early history is entangled with a controversy about rival inventors which has lasted for more than three centuries, and is not yet fully determined. In the management of this controversy, a subject intrinsically attractive has been made repulsive. The history of the invention of printing has been written to please national pride. German authors assert the claims of Gutenberg and discredit traditions about Coster. Dutch authors insist on the priority of Coster, and charge Gutenberg with stealing the invention. Partisans on each side say that their opponents have perfect the records and suppressed the truth. The quarrel has verted the records and suppressed the truth. The quarrel has

controversy about rival inventors which has lasted for more than three centuries, and is not yet fully determined. In the management of this controversy, a subject intrinsically attractive has been made repulsive. The history of the invention of printing has been written to please national pride. German authors assert the claims of Gutenberg and discredit traditions about Coster. Dutch authors insist on the priority of Coster, and charge Gutenberg with stealing the invention. Partisans on each side say that their opponents have perverted the records and suppressed the truth. The quarrel has spread. English and French authors, who had no national prejudices to gratify, and who should have considered the question without passion, have wrangled over the subject with all the bitterness of Germans or of Hollanders. In this, as in other quarrels, there are amusing features, but to the general reader the controversy seems unfortunate and is certainly ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZ

1234567890

ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPORSTUVWXYZ Lower case a to z, 151/4 ems

1234567890

ABCDEFGHUKLMNOPORSTUVWXYZ

1234567890

ABCDEFGHIKLMNOPORSTUV

1234567890

Lower case a to z, 144 ems

10 POINT OLD STYLE NO. 77

THE INVENTION OF PRINTING has always been recognized by educated men as a subject of great importance: there is no mechanical art, nor are there any of the fine arts, about whose early history so many books have been written. The subject is as mysterious as it is inviting. There is an unusual degree of obscurity about the origin of the first printed books and the lives and works of the early printers. There are records and traditions which cannot be reconciled of at least three distinct inventions of printing. Its early history is entangled with a controversy about rival inventors which has lasted for more than three centuries, and is not yet fully determined. In the management of this controversy, a subject intrinsically attractive has been made repulsive. The history of the invention of printing has been written to please national pride. German authors assert the claims of Gutenberg and discredit traditions about Coster. Dutch authors insist on the priority of Coster, and charge Gutenberg with stealing the invention. Partisans on each side say that their opponents have perverted the records and suppressed the truth. The quarrel has spread. English and French authors, who had no national prejudices to gratify, and who should have considered the question with

**ABCDEFGHIKLMNOPQ** 

**ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRS** 

1234567890

Lower case a to z, 12 ems

1234567890

8 POINT OLD STYLE NO 77

THE INVENTION OF PRINTING has always been recognized by educated men as a subject of importance: there is no mechanical art, nor are there any of the fine arts, about whose early history so many volumes have been written. The subject is as mysterious as it is inviting. There is an unusual degree of obscurity about the origin of the first printed books and the lives and works of the early printers. There are records and traditions which cannot be reconciled of at least three distinct inventions of printing. Its early history is entangled with a controversy about rival inventors which has lasted for more than three centuries, and is not yet fully determined. In the management of this controversy, a subject intrinsically attractive has been made repulsive. The history of the invention of printing has been written to please national pride. German authors assert the claims of Gutenberg and discredit traditions about Coster. Dutch

ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZ

1234567890

**ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTU** 

1234567890

Lower case a to z, 1334 ems

1234567890

9 POINT OLD STYLE NO. 77

THE INVENTION OF PRINTING has always been recognized by educated men as a subject of importance: there is no mechanical art, nor are there any of the fine arts, about whose early history so many volumes have been written. The subject is as mysterious as it is inviting. There is an unusual degree of obscurity about the origin of the first printed books and the lives and works of the early printers. There are records and traditions which cannot be reconciled of at least three distinct inventions of printing. Its early history is entangled with a controversy about rival inventors which has lasted for more than three centuries, and is not yet fully determined. In the management of this controversy, a subject intrinsically attractive has been made repulsive. The history of the invention of print-

**ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWX** 

**ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRS** 1234567890

Lower case a to z, 13 ems