

THE RONALDSON SERIES

6 POINT OLD STYLE No. 72

8 4 8

THE INVENTION OF PRINTING has always been recognized by educated men as a subject of much importance: there is no mechanical art, nor are there any of the fine arts, about whose early history so many books have been written. The subject is as mysterious as it is inviting. There is an unusual degree of obscurity concerning the origin of the first printed books and the lives and works of the early printers. There are records and traditions which cannot be reconciled of at least *three distinct inventions of printing*. Its early history is entangled with a controversy about rival inventors which has lasted for more than three centuries, and is not yet fully determined. In the management of this controversy, a subject intrinsically attractive has been made repulsive. The history of the invention of printing has been written to please national pride. German authors assert the claims of Gutenberg and discredit traditions about Coster. Dutch authors insist on the priority of Coster, and charge Gutenberg with stealing the invention. Partisans on each side say that their opponents have perverted the records and suppressed the truth. The quarrel has spread. English and French authors, who had no national prejudices to gratify, and who should have considered the question without passion, have wrangled over the subject with all the bitterness of Germans or Hollanders. In this, as in other quarrels, there are amusing features, but to the general reader the controversy seems unfortunate and is certainly wearisome. It is a greater misfortune that all the early chronicles of printing were written in a dead language. Wolf's collection of Typographic Monuments, which includes nearly

ABCDEFGHIJKLMNQRSTUUVWXYZ

ABCDEFGHIJKLMNQRSTUUVWXYZ

1234567890

1234567890

Lower-case a to z, 15½ ems

8 POINT OLD STYLE No. 72

8 4 8

THE INVENTION OF PRINTING has always been recognized by educated men as a subject of importance: there is no mechanical art, nor are there any of the fine arts, about whose early history so many books have been written. The subject is as mysterious as it is inviting. There is an unusual degree of obscurity about the origin of the first printed books and the lives and works of the early printers. There are records and traditions which cannot be reconciled of at least *three distinct inventions of printing*. Its early history is entangled with a controversy about rival inventors which has lasted for more than three centuries, and is not yet fully determined. In the management of this controversy, a subject intrinsically attractive has been made repulsive. The history of the invention of printing has been written to please national pride. German authors assert the claims of Gutenberg and discredit traditions about Coster. Dutch authors insist on the priority of Coster, and charge Gutenberg with stealing the invention. Partisans on each side say that their opponents have perverted the records and suppressed the truth. The quarrel has spread. English and French authors, who had no national prejudices to gratify, and who should have considered the question without passion, have wrangled over the subject with all the bitterness of Germans or Hollanders. In this, as in other quarrels, there are amusing features, but to the general reader the controversy seems unfortunate and is certainly wearisome. It is a greater misfortune that all the early chronicles of printing were written in a dead language. Wolf's collection of Typographic Monuments, which includes nearly every paper of value written before 1740, is in Latin; the valuable books of Meerman, Maittaire and Schoepflin are also in Latin. To the general

ABCDEFGHIJKLMNQRSTUUVWXYZ

ABCDEFGHIJKLMNQRSTUUVWXYZ

1234567890

1234567890

Lower-case to a z, 14 ems

9 POINT OLD STYLE No. 72

8 4 8

THE INVENTION OF PRINTING has at all times been recognized by educated men as a subject of importance: there is no mechanical art, nor are there any of the fine arts, about whose early history so many books have been written. The subject is as mysterious as it is inviting. There is an unusual degree of obscurity about the origin of the first printed books and the lives and works of the early printers. There are records and traditions which cannot be reconciled of at least *three distinct inventions of printing*. Its early history is entangled with a controversy about rival inventors which has lasted for more than three centuries, and is not yet fully determined. In the management of this controversy, a subject intrinsically attractive has been made repulsive. The history of the invention of printing has been written to please national pride. German authors assert the claims of Gutenberg and discredit traditions about Coster. Dutch authors insist on the priority of Coster and charge Gutenberg with stealing the invention. Partisans on each side say that their opponents have perverted the records and suppressed the truth. The quarrel has spread. English and French authors, who had no national prejudices to gratify, and who should have considered the question without passion, have wrangled over the subject with all the bitterness of Germans or of Hollanders. In this, as in other quarrels, there are amusing features, but to the general reader the controversy seems unfortunate and is certainly wearisome. It is a greater misfortune that all the early chronicles of printing were

ABCDEFGHIJKLMNQRSTUUVWXYZ

ABCDEFGHIJKLMNQRSTUUVWXYZ

1234567890

1234567890

Lower-case a to z, 13½ ems

7 POINT OLD STYLE No. 72

8 4 8

THE INVENTION OF PRINTING has always been recognized by educated men as a subject of importance: there is no mechanical art, nor are there any of the fine arts, about whose early history so many books have been written. The subject is as mysterious as it is inviting. There is an unusual degree of obscurity about the origin of the first printed books and the lives and works of the early printers. There are records and traditions which cannot be reconciled of at least *three distinct inventions of printing*. Its early history is entangled with a controversy about rival inventors which has lasted for more than three centuries, and is not yet fully determined. In the management of this controversy, a subject intrinsically attractive has been made repulsive. The history of the invention of printing has been written to please national pride. German authors assert the claims of Gutenberg and discredit traditions about Coster. Dutch authors insist on the priority of Coster, and charge Gutenberg with stealing the invention. Partisans on each side say that their opponents have perverted the records and suppressed the truth. The quarrel has spread. English and French authors, who had no national prejudices to gratify, and who should have considered the question without passion, have wrangled over the subject with all the bitterness of Germans or of Hollanders. In

ABCDEFGHIJKLMNQRSTUUVWXYZ

ABCDEFGHIJKLMNQRSTUUVWXYZ

1234567890

1234567890

Lower-case a to z, 14¼ ems

THE RONALDSON SERIES

10 POINT OLD STYLE No. 72

10 POINT OLD STYLE No. 72

• • •

THE INVENTION OF PRINTING has always been recognized by educated men as a subject of importance: there is no mechanical art, nor are there any of the fine arts, about whose early history so many books have been written. The subject is as mysterious as it is inviting. There is an unusual degree of obscurity about the origin of the first printed books and the lives and works of the early printers. There are records and traditions which cannot be reconciled of at least *three distinct inventions of printing*. Its early history is entangled with a controversy about rival inventors which has lasted for more than three centuries, and is not yet perfectly determined. In the

management of this controversy, a subject intrinsically attractive has been made almost repulsive. The history of the invention of printing has been written to please national pride. German authors assert the claims of Gutenberg and discredit the traditions about Coster. Dutch authors insist on the priority of Coster, and they charge Gutenberg with stealing the invention. Partisans on each side say that their opponents have perverted the records and suppressed the truth. The quarrel has spread. English and French authors, who had no national prejudices to gratify, and who should have considered the question without passion, have wrangled over the subject with all the

ABCDEFGHIJKLMN OPQRSTUVWXYZ

1234567890

ABCDEFGHIJKLMN OPQRSTUVWXYZ

1234567890

Lower-case a to z, 13 ems

12 POINT OLD STYLE No. 72

• • •

THE INVENTION OF PRINTING has always been recognized by educated men as a subject of importance: there is no mechanical art, *nor are there any of the fine arts*, about whose early history so many books have been written. The subject is as mysterious as it is inviting. There is besides an unusual degree of obscurity about the origin of the first printed books and the lives and works of the early printers. There are records and traditions which cannot be reconciled of at least three distinct inventions of printing. Its early history is entangled with a controversy about rival inventors which has lasted for more than three centuries, and is not yet perfectly determined. In the management of this controversy, a subject intrinsically attractive has been rendered repulsive. The history of the invention of printing has been written to please national pride. German

ABCDEFGHIJKL ABCDEFGHIJKLMN

1234567890

Lower-case a to z, 12½ ems

1234567890

11 POINT OLD STYLE No. 72

11 POINT OLD STYLE No. 72

• • •

THE INVENTION OF PRINTING has always been recognized by educated men as a subject of importance: there is no mechanical art, nor are there any of the fine arts, about whose early history so many books have been written. The subject is as mysterious as it is inviting. There is an unusual degree of obscurity about the origin of the first printed books and the lives and works of the early printers. There are records and traditions which cannot be reconciled of at least *three distinct inventions of printing*. Its early history is entangled with a controversy about rival inventors which has

lasted for more than three centuries, and is not yet fully determined. In the management of this controversy, a subject intrinsically attractive has been made repulsive. The history of the invention of printing has been written to please national pride. German authors assert the claims of Gutenberg and discredit the traditions about Coster. Dutch authors insist on the priority of Coster, and charge Gutenberg with stealing the invention. Partisans on each side say that their opponents have perverted the records and suppressed the truth. The disputation has spread. English and French

ABCDEFGHIJKLMN OPQRSTUVWXYZ

1234567890

ABCDEFGHIJKLMN OPQRSTUVWXYZ

1234567890

Lower-case a to z, 12½ ems

THE RONALDSON SERIES

ORIGINATED BY MACKELLAR, SMITHS & JORDAN FOUNDRY, PHILADELPHIA

18 POINT OLD STYLE No. 72

14 A 30a \$4 30

The Art of Printing has always been recognized as a subject of importance: there is no mechanical art, nor are there any of fine arts, about whose early history so many books have been published. The subject is as mysterious as it is inviting. There is

24 POINT OLD STYLE No. 72

10 A 20a \$4 70

The Art of Printing has been conceded by educated men to be a subject of importance: there is no mechanical art, nor are there any of the fine arts, about

30 POINT OLD STYLE No. 72

8 A 16a \$5 85

The Invention of Printing has always been recognized by men of education as a subject of very much importance. The history of the invention of printing has

36 POINT OLD STYLE No. 72

6 A 12a \$7 00

The Invention of Printing has always been recognized by educated men as a subject of importance: there is no mechanical art, nor are there any of the fine arts, about

Twenty-five pounds and upwards at Roman prices