THE INVENTION OF PRINTING has always been recognized by educated men as a subject of impor-tance: there is no mechanical art, nor are there any of the fine arts, about whose early history so many books have been written. The subject is as mysterious as it is inviting. There is an unusual degree of obscurity about the origin of the first printed books and the lives and works of the early printers. There are records and traditions which cannot be reconciled of at least three distinct inventions of printing. Its early history is entangled with a controversy about rival inventors which has lasted for more than three centuries, and is not yet fully determined. In the management of this controversy, a

THE INVENTION OF PRINTING has always been recognized by educated men as a subject of importance: there is no mechanical art, nor are there any of the fine arts, about whose early history so many books have been written. The subject is as mysterious as it is inviting. There is an unusual degree of obscurity about the origin of the first printed books and the lives and works of the early printers. There are books and traditions that cannot be reconciled of at least three distinct inventions of printing. Its history is entangled with a controversy about rival inventors which has lasted for more than three singlect intrinsically attractive has been made repulsive. The history of the invention of printing has been written to please public pride. German authors assert the claims of Gutenberg, and discredit traditions about Coster. Dutch authors must insist on the priority of Coster, and charge Gutenberg with stealing the invention. Partisans on each side say that their opponents have perverted the records and suppressed the truth. The quarrel has spread. English and French authors, who had no national prejudice to gratify, and who should have considered the question without passion, have wrangled over the subject with all the bitterness of Germans and Hollanders. In this, as in other quarrels, there are

THE INVENTION OF PRINTING has always been recognized by educated men as a subject of importance: there is no mechanical art, nor are there any of the fine arts, about whose early history so many books have been written. The subject is as mysterious as it is inviting. There is an unusual degree of obscurity as to the real origin of the first printed books and the lives and works of the early printers. There are records and traditions which cannot be reconciled of at least tions which cannot be reconciled of at least three distinct inventions of printing. Its earliest history is entangled with a controversy which has lasted for more than three centuries, and is not yet fully determined. In the management of this controversy, a subject most intrinsically attractive has been made repulsive. The history of the invention of printing has been written to please public pride. German authors assert the claims of Gutenberg, and discredit traditions about Coster. Dutch authors must insist on the priority of Coster, and charge

7 POINT OLD STYLE NO. 73

THE INVENTION OF PRINTING has always been recognized by educated men as a subject of importance: there is no mechanical art, nor are there any of the fine arts, about whose early history so many books have been written. The subject is as mysterious as it is inviting. There is an unusual degree of obscurity about the origin of the first printed books and the lives and works of the early printers. There are records and traditions which cannot be reconciled of at least three distinct inventions of printing. Its early history is entangled with a controversy about rival inventors which has lasted for more than three centuries, and is not yet fully determined. In the management of this controversy, three centuries, and is not yet fully determined. In the management of this controversy, a subject intrinsically attractive has been made repulsive. The history of the invention of printing has been written to please public pride. German authors assert the claims of Gutenberg, and discredit traditions about Coster. Dutch authors must insist on the priority of Coster, and charge Gutenberg with stealing the invention. Partisans on each side say that their opponents have perverted the records and suppressed the truth. The quarrel has spread. English and French authors, who had no national prejudice whatever to gratify, and who should have considered the question without passion, have wrangled over the subject with all the bitterness of Germans and Hollanders. In this, as in other quarrels, there are amusing features, but to the general reader the controversy seems unfortunate and is certainly wearisome. It is a greater misfortune that all the

ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZ

1234567890

ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPORSTUVWXYZ

1234567890

Lower case a to z, 15% ems

51/2 POINT OLD STYLE NO. 73

THE INVENTION OF PRINTING has always been recognized by educated men as a subject of importance: there is no mechanical art, nor are there any of the fine arts about whose early history so many books have been written. The subject is as mysterious as it is inviting. There is an unusual degree of obscurity about the origin of the first printed books and the lives and works of the early printers. There are records and traditions which cannot be reconciled of at least three distinct inventions of printing. Its early history is entangled with a controversy about rival inventors which has lasted for more than three centuries, and is not yet fully determined. In the management of this controversy, a subject intrinsically attractive has been made repulsive. The history of the invention of printing has been written to please public pride. German authors assert the claims of Gutenwritten to please public pride. German authors assert the claims of Gutenberg, and discredit traditions about Coster. Dutch authors must insist on the priority of Coster, and charge Gutenberg with stealing the invention. Partisans on each side say that their opponents have perverted the records and suppressed the truth. The quarrel has spread. English and French authors, who had no national prejudice to gratify, and who should have considered the question without passion, have wrangled over the subject with all the bitterness of Germans and Hollanders. In this, as in other quarrels, there are amusing features, but to the general reader the controversy seems under the properties of the pr

ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZ

1234567890

ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZ Lower case a to z, 161/2 ems

1234567890

6 POINT OLD STYLE NO 73

THE INVENTION OF PRINTING has always been recognized by educated men as a subject of importance: there is no mechanical art, nor are there any of the fine arts, about whose early history so many books have been written. The subject is as mysterious as it is inviting. There is an unusual degree of obscurity about the origin of the first printed books and the lives and works of the early printers. There are records and traditions which cannot be reconciled of at least three distinct inventions of printing. Its early history is entangled with a controversy about rival inventors which has lasted for more than three centuries, and is not yet fully determined. In the manthan three centuries, and is not yet fully determined. In the management of this controversy, a subject intrinsically attractive has been made repulsive. The history of the invention of printing has been written to please public pride. German authors assert the claims of Gutenberg, and discredit traditions about Coster. Dutch authors must insist on the priority of Coster, and charge Gutenberg with stealing the invention. Partisans on each side say that their opponents have perverted the records and suppressed the truth. The quarrel has spread. English and French authors who had no national prejudice to gratify, and who should have considered the question without passion, have wrangled over the subject with all the bitterness of Germans and Hollanders. In this, as in other quarrels, there are amusing features, but to the general reader the controversy seems unfortunate and is certainly wearisome. It is a greater misfortune that all the early chronicles of printing were written in a dead language. Wolf's collection of Typographic Monuments, which include nearly

ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZ

1234567890 ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZ 1234567890

Lower case a to z, 161/2 ems