51/2 POINT OLD STYLE NO. 80

THE INVENTION OF PRINTING has always been recognized by educated men as a subject of importance: there is no mechanical art, nor are there any of the fine arts, about whose early history so many books have been The subject is as mysterious as it is inviting. There is an unusual degree of obscurity about the origin of the first printed books and the lives and works of the early printers. There are records and traditions which cannot be reconciled of at least three distinct inventions of printing. Its early history is entangled with a controversy about rival inventors which has lasted for more than three centuries, and is not yet fully determined. In the management of this controversy a subject intrinsically attractive has been made repulsive. The history of the invention of printing has been written to please national pride. German authors assert the claims of Gutenberg and discredit traditions about Coster. Dutch authors insist on the priority of Coster and charge Gutenberg with stealing the invention. Partisans on each side say that their opponents have perverted the records and suppressed the truth. The quarrel has spread. English and French authors who had no national prejudices to gratify, and who should have considered the question without passion, have wrangled over the subject with all the bitterness of Germans or Hollanders. In this, as in other quarrels, there are amusing features, but to the general reader the controversy seems unfortunate and is certainly wearisome. It is a greater misfortune that all the early chronicles of printing were written in a dead language. Wolf's collection of Typographic Monuments, which includes nearly every paper of value written before 1740, is in Latin; the valuable books of Meerman, Maittaire, and Schoepfin are also in Latin. To the general reader these are sealed books; to the student, who seeks exact knowledge of the methods of the first printers, they are tiresome books. Written for the information of librarians rather than of printers, it is but proper that these books should devote the largest space to a review of the controversy, or to a description of early editions; but it is strange that they should so imperfectly describe the construction of the Gutenberg and discredit traditions about Coster. Dutch

ABCDEFGHIIKLMNOPORSTUV

1234567800

ABCDEFGHIKLMNOPOR

1234567800

Lower case a to z, 143/ ems

11 POINT OLD STYLE NO. 80

THE INVENTION OF PRINTING has always been recognized by educated men as a subject of importance: there is no mechanical art, nor are there any of the fine arts, about whose early history so many books have been written. The subject is as mysterious as it is inviting. There is an unusual degree of obscurity about the origin of the first printed books and the lives and works of the early printers. There are records and traditions which cannot be reconciled of at least three distinct inventions of printing. Its early history is entangled with a controversy about rival inventors which has lasted for more than three centuries, and is not yet fully determined. In the management of this controversy a subject intrinsically attractive has been made repulsive. The history of the invention of printing has been written to please national pride. German authors assert the claims of Gutenberg and discredit traditions about Coster. Dutch authors insist on the priority of Coster and charge Gutenberg with stealing the invention. Partisans on each side

ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPORST

1234567890

ABCDEFGHIIKLMNOPOR

1234567890

Lower case a to z, 131/2 ems

12 POINT OLD STYLE NO. 80

THE INVENTION OF PRINTING has always been recognized by educated men as a subject of importance: there is no mechanical art, nor are there any of the fine arts, about whose early history so many books have been written. The subject is as mysterious as it is inviting. There is an unusual degree of obscurity about the origin of the first printed books and the lives and works of the early printers. There are records and traditions which cannot be reconciled of at least three distinct inventions of printing. Its early history is entangled with a controversy about rival inventors which has lasted for more than three centuries, and is not yet fully determined. In the management of this controversy a subject intrinsically attrac-tive has been made repulsive. The history of the invention of printing has been written to please national pride. German authors assert the claims of Gutenberg and discredit traditions

ABCDEFGHIJKLMNO

1234567890

ABCDEFGHIJKL

1234567890

Lower case a to z, 141/2 ems

6 POINT OLD STYLE NO. 80

THE INVENTION OF PRINTING has always been recognized by educated men as a subject of importhere is no mechanical art, nor are there any of the fine arts, about whose early history so many books have been written. The subject is as mysterious as it is inviting. There is an unusual degree of obscurity about the origin of the first printed books and the lives and works of the early printers. There are records and traditions which cannot be reconciled of at least three distinct inventions of printing. Its early history is entangled with a controversy about rival inventors which has lasted for more than three centuries, and is not yet lasted for more than three centuries, and is not yet fully determined. In the management of this controversy a subject intrinsically attractive has been made repulsive. The history of the invention of printing has been written to please national pride. German authors assert the claims of Gutenberg and discredit traditions about Coster. Dutch authors insist on the priority of Coster and charge Gutenberg with stealing the invention. Partisans on each side say that their opponents have perverted the records and suppressed the truth. The quarrel has spread. English and French authors who had no national prejudices to gratify, and who should have considered the question without passion, have wrangled over the subject with all the bitterness of Germans or Hollanders. In this, as in other quarrels, there are amusing features, but to the general reader the controversy seems unfortunate and is certainly wearisome. It is a greater misfortune that all the early chronicles of printing were written in a dead language. Wolf's collection of Typographic Monuments, which includes nearly every paper of value written before 1740, is in Latin; the valuable books of Meerman, Mattaire, and Schoepflin are also in Latin. To the general reader these are sealed books; to the student, who seeks exact knowledge of the methods of the first printers, they are tiresome books. Written for the information of librarians rather than of printers, it is but proper fully determined. In the management of this con-

ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRST

1234567890

ABCDEFGHIJKLMN

1234567890

Lower case a to z. 15 ems

7 POINT OLD STYLE NO. 80 THE INVENTION OF PRINTING has always been recognized by educated men as a subject of importance: there is no

mechanical art, nor are there any of the fine arts, about whose early history so many books have been written. The subject

is as mysterious as it is inviting. There is an unusual degree of obscurity about the origin of the first printed books and the lives and works of the early printers. There are records and

traditions which cannot be reconciled of at least three distinct

inventions of printing. Its early history is entangled with a controversy about rival inventors which has lasted for more

than three centuries, and is not yet fully determined. In the

management of this controversy a subject intrinsically attrac-

tive has been made repulsive. The history of the invention of

tive has been made repulsive. The history of the invention of printing has been written to please national pride. German authors assert the claims of Gutenberg and discredit traditions about Coster. Dutch authors insist on the priority of Coster and charge Gutenberg with stealing the invention. Partisans on each side say that their opponents have perverted the record and suppressed the truth. The quarrel has spread. English and French authors who had no national prejudices to gratify, and who should have considered the question without passion, have wrangled over the subject with all the bitterness of Germans or Hollanders. In this, as in other quarrels, there are amusing features, but to the general reader the controversy seems unfortunate and is certainly wearisome. It is a greater misfortune that all the early chronicles of printing were written in a dead language. Wolf's collection of typographic monuments, which includes nearly every paper of value written before 1740, is in Latin; the valuable books of Meerman, Maitaire, and Shoepflin are also in Latin. To the general reader these are sealed books; to the student, who seeks exact knowledges the sealed books of the student, who seeks exact knowledges the sealed books of the student, who seeks exact knowledges are sealed books; to the student, who seeks exact knowledges are sealed books; to the student, who seeks exact knowledges are sealed books; to the student, who seeks exact knowledges are sealed books; to the student, who seeks exact knowledges are sealed books.

8 POINT OLD STYLE NO. 80

THE INVENTION OF PRINTING has always been recognized by educated men as a subject of importance: there is no mechanical art, nor are there any of the fine arts, about whose early history so many books have been written. The subject is as mysterious as it is inviting. There is an unusual degree of obscurity about the origin of the first printed books and the lives and works of the early printers. There are records and traditions which cannot be reconciled of at least three distinct inventions of printing. Its early history is entangled with a controversy about rival inventors which has lasted for more than three centuries, and is not yet fully determined. In than three centuries, and is not yet fully determined. In the management of this controversy a subject intrinsically attractive has been made repulsive. The history of the invention of printing has been written to please national pride. German authors assert the claims of Gutenberg and discredit traditions about Coster. Dutch authors insist on the priority of Coster and charge Gutenberg with stealing the invention. Partisans on each side say that their opponents have perverted the records and suppressed the truth. The quarrel has spread. English and French authors who had no national prejudices to gratify, and who should have considered the question without passion, have wrangled over the subject with all the bitterness of Germans or Hollanders. In this, as in other quarrels, there are amusing features, but to the general reader the controversy seems unfortunate and is certainly reader the controversy seems unfortunate and is certainly

ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZ

1234567890

ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRS-

1234567890

Lower case a to z, 13% ems

ABCDEFGHIKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZ ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVW 1234567890 1234567890

Lower case a to z, 141/2 ems

9 POINT OLD STYLE NO. 80

THE INVENTION OF PRINTING has always been recognized by educated men as a subject of importance: there is no mechanical art, nor are there any of the fine arts, about whose early history so many books have been written. The subject is as mysterious as it is inviting. There is an unusual degree of

obscurity about the origin of the first printed books and the lives and works of the early printers. There are records and traditions which cannot be reconciled of at least three distinct inventions of printing. Its early history is entangled with a controversy about rival inventors which has lasted for more than three centuries, and is not yet fully determined. In the management of this controversy a subject intrinsi-cally attractive has been made repulsive. The history of the invention of printing has been written to please national pride. German authors assert the claims of Gutenberg and discredit traditions about Coster. Dutch authors insist on the priority of Coster and charge Gutenberg with stealing the invention. Partisans on each side say that their opponents have perverted the records and suppressed the truth. The quarrel has spread. English and French authors who

rels, there are amusing features, but to the general ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRS

had no national prejudices to gratify, and who should have considered the question without passion, have wrangled over the subject with all the bitterness of

Germans or Hollanders. In this, as in other quar-

1234567890

ABCDEFGHIJKLMN

1234567890

Lower case a to z, 13 ems

10 POINT OLD STYLE NO. 80

THE INVENTION OF PRINTING has always been recognized by educated men as a subject of importance: there is no mechanical art, nor are there any of the fine arts, about whose early history so many books have been written. The subject is as mysterious as it is inviting. There is an unusual degree of obscurity about the origin of the first printed books and the lives and works of the early printers. There are records and traditions which cannot be reconciled of at least three distinct inventions of printing. Its early history is entangled with a controversy about rival inventors which has lasted for more than three centuries, and is not yet fully determined. In the management of this controversy a subject intrinsically attractive has been made repulsive. The history of the invention of printing has been written to please national pride. German authors assert the claims of Gutenberg and discredit traditions about Coster. Dutch authors insist on the priority of Coster and charge Gutenberg with stealing the invention. Partisans on each side say that their opponents have perverted the records and suppressed the truth. The quarrel has spread. En

ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQR

1234567890

ABCDEFGHIJKL

1234567890

Lower case a to z, 13 ems